

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/056,292	PETERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alfred E. Dudding	2853	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Alfred E. Dudding. (3) _____.
- (2) Sanjeef Dhand. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4/13/04

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 26, 27 and 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sakaruda (U.S. 4,672,432).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney explained that claims 26 and 27 were allowable per reasons cited in previous office action. Applicant's attorney would amend claims 1 and 36 to overcome the Sakaruda reference. An updated search would be conducted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Stephen D. Meler
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required